

U.S. Department of Agriculture Executive Order 13520, "Reducing Improper Payments"
High-Dollar Overpayments Report
3rd Quarter FY 2013

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
Farm Service Agency (FSA) Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)	Individual	Gaines, TX	\$16,907	\$0	\$16,907	Country office (COF) paid producer for land no longer owned.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	COF instructed to update farm records timely.
FSA CRP	Individual	Sheridan, MT	\$25,504	\$12,752	\$12,752	COF revised CRP contract numbers (CN) and did not terminate the old CN causing both contracts to be paid.		COF will ensure old CRP CNs are terminated prior to issuing payments.
FSA CRP	Individual	Hockley, TX	\$5,900	\$0	\$5,900	COF paid deceased producer.		Continue to encourage timely reporting of deceased producers to the COF. A quarterly report will provide a list of the deceased individuals that received a program payment after the date of the individual's death.
FSA CRP	Individual	Marshall, MN	\$46,542	\$0	\$46,542	COF issued payment to deceased producer because it was unaware that the producer was deceased and that the CRP contact was succeeded by an eligible successor-in-interest.		COF will publicize the importance of timely reporting changes to farming operations when enrolled in long term contracts. This will enable the COF to timely process successions to these contracts.
FSA CRP	Individual	Wichita, KS	\$59,148	\$31,465	\$27,683	Payment reduction amounts were not successfully migrated to the web-based system causing an overpayment to occur.		The web-based system has been modified to provide the ability to record payment reductions, along with the reason and amount of the payment reduction, regardless of where the conservation contract resides.
FSA CRP	Individual	Wichita, KS	\$47,116	\$25,048	\$22,068			
FSA CRP	Individual	Wichita, KS	\$10,930	\$5,861	\$5,069			
FSA CRP	Individual	Wichita, KS	\$41,913	\$21,842	\$20,071			
FSA CRP	Individual	Roosevelt, NM	\$50,009	\$0	\$50,009	CRP contract succession-in-interest was not entered into the system with the revised payment	Incorrect payment was offset from subsequent payment.	Second party reviews of computer entries are now required.
FSA CRP	Individual	Roosevelt, NM	\$32,668	\$0	\$32,668			
FSA CRP	Individual	Swisher, TX	\$5,364	\$0	\$5,364	CRP contract was under revision at the time annual payment cycles were processing. Due to errors in the payment software, the original contract and the revised contract were both paid.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	Make sure second party review of successor provisions. The Contract Maintenance web-based system will become the repository of all CRP contract information for active contracts.
FSA CRP	Individual	Swisher, TX	\$5,380	\$0	\$5,380			
FSA CRP	Individual	Roosevelt, NM	\$10,039	\$0	\$10,039	CRP payment issued in error to a deceased producer.	CRP payment was returned because the bank account was closed. No further action required.	Continue to encourage timely reporting of deceased producers to the COF. A quarterly report will provide a list of the deceased individuals that received a program payment after the date of the individual's death.
FSA CRP	Individual	Natchitoches, LA	\$8,990	\$2,110	\$6,880	Incorrect acres on Conservation Plan of Operation (CPO) thus creating an incorrect CRP incentive payment to be issued.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	Remind staff to be careful in reviewing CPO acres.
FSA CRP	Individual	Sargent, ND	\$5,356	\$0	\$5,356	Producer enrolled land into the Wetland Reserve Program. The COF canceled the CRP contract; however, the system generated a payment for the canceled contract.		COF will monitor all CRP payments made through the National Payment Service (NPS).
FSA CRP	Individual	Sargent, ND	\$5,356	\$0	\$5,356			
FSA CRP	Individual	Sargent, ND	\$5,358	\$0	\$5,358			
FSA CRP	Individual	Bailey, TX	\$8,784	\$0	\$8,784	Producer failed to notify COF that the operator on the farm had changed.	Producer was issued demand letters.	Office will review contract before annual payments are made.
FSA CRP	Individual	Jackson, WI	\$27,648	\$0	\$27,648	Producer failed to carry out the terms and conditions of contract and was determined ineligible for the CRP program which resulted in the contract being terminated.		COF is following national procedures regarding CRP contract terminations. CRP contracts may be terminated before the full term of the contract has expired for failure to carry out the terms and conditions of the contract.
FSA CRP	Individual	Franklin, NE	\$9,474	\$420	\$9,054	The CRP annual payment was not prorated properly between the old and new producer.	The new producer returned the Treasury check to the COF. The correct payments were issued to the producers.	COF will initiate a second party check on all CRP successions to be certain payments are properly prorated before payments are signed.

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
FSA CRP	Entity	Kiowa, CO	\$26,397	\$0	\$26,397	The recording county did not record a combination of parent and minor child in the subsidiary file and issued a payment to the minor. Another county, unaware of the combination issued the payment for the full amount to the parent. The total payments issued were over the CRP limitation.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	The COF will have better communication with the multi county offices to ensure subsidiary flags are properly updated before payments are issued.
FSA CRP	Individual	Reno, KS	\$6,578	\$0	\$6,578	There was a change in land ownership and the land was transferred out of county resulting in both parties receiving a payment.		COF will ensure they have better communication with the receiving county.
FSA Direct and Counter-Cyclical Program (DCP)	Individual	Ward, ND	\$13,505	\$6,077	\$7,428	The shares of the payment were not prorated properly to the producers.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	County office will double check to make sure shares are the same on both forms.
FSA DCP	Individual	Roosevelt, NM	\$13,141	\$0	\$13,141	Changes were made to the individual producer's farm records data which resulted in a DCP contract cancellation. However, the farm records change did not make the producer ineligible for the DCP payment. Therefore the overpayment establishment shouldn't occurred.	The DCP contract was re-entered and the payment was re-issued. The DCP payment was applied to the receivable/overpayment amount due.	COFs will conduct second party reviews of eligibility forms to avoid farm records changes made after DCP contract approvals and payments. Also, an automated solution will provide traceability and alerts to COF staff when changes are made to Farm Records.
FSA DCP	Individual	Roosevelt, NM	\$19,985	\$0	\$19,985			
FSA DCP	Individual	Kiowa, OK	\$23,415	\$5,202	\$18,213	COF issued payment for the wrong share on the DCP contract because a father and son had very similar names.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	COF will pay closer attention to shares entered on the DCP contract.
FSA DCP	Individual	East Carroll, LA	\$14,736	\$7,368	\$7,368	Payment issued to an incorrect name because producer failed to notify office of change.		Remind producers and staff that changes must be reported to prevent improper payments.
FSA DCP	Individual	Clay, AR	\$6,907	\$0	\$6,907	COF issued payment to an incorrect tax identification number. The payment should have been paid to a entity identification number for the trust instead of the social security number.	Overpayment recovered and the payment was reissued properly.	COF personnel will double check to make sure payments are being made to correct identification number.
FSA DCP	Individual	Pima, AZ	\$22,988	\$0	\$22,988	COF revised a FY 2012 DCP contract after September 30, 2012, which is not allowed and issued additional payment based on revision.	The COF will establish the receivable and issue a demand letter. If the producer does not repay the money, it can be collected through offset of future payments.	COF was counseled and provided guidance on handling issue in future.
FSA DCP	Individual	Newton, IN	\$5,890	\$0	\$5,890	COF issued payment to an incorrect name because producer failed to notify office of correct social security number and address.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	A future software release in calendar year 2013 will include Internal Revenue Service (IRS) validations of tax identification numbers and United States Postal Service's validations of an address.
FSA DCP	Individual	Finney, KS	\$8,824	\$0	\$8,824	Farm was transferred out of county but the paper work was not processed in a timely manner.		COF will handle farm transfers in a more timely manner.
FSA DCP	Individual	Finney, KS	\$9,648	\$0	\$9,648			
FSA DCP	Individual	Marion, FL	\$8,139	\$0	\$8,139	NPS automatically issued a payment to the producer that should have been offset to repay a receivable.	The producer was issued demand letters and notified via multiple telephone calls.	The COF will check all payments for offset in NPS and reset any that are not flagged.
FSA DCP	Individual	Marion, FL	\$7,247	\$0	\$7,247			
FSA DCP	Individual	Gaines, TX	\$11,338	\$0	\$11,338	Producer's adjusted gross income (AGI) was rejected by the IRS.	Producer notified four times by mail. A receivable was established and demand letters issued.	Stress importance of AGI compliance to producers.
FSA DCP	Individual	Bannock, ID	\$10,081	\$0	\$10,081	COF issued payment due to a program flag for Fruits and Vegetables (FAV) that had been deleted which allowed the payment to be processed.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	Producers in violation of the planting restriction will lose payments on those acres planted in FAV, plus may receive a financial penalty equal to the market value of the restricted fruit or vegetable crop.
FSA DCP	Individual	Nemaha, KS	\$18,031	\$0	\$18,031	Software validation failed to recognize eligibility of members when eligibility flags were updated and to not reissue a prior payment.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	A web-based system was deployed that includes processes to validate eligibility through a business file and documentation obtained or provided to FSA.

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future	
FSA DCP	Individual	Hansford, TX	\$6,574	\$0	\$6,574	The COF program technician (PT) processing the DCP contract was not aware of the farm records changes due to the reconstitution of the original farm covered under the DCP contract. Therefore, reductions were not made on the subsequent farms after the reconstitution was completed.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	PT will pass the Farm Record form for every reconstitution completed and verify that everyone is aware of the reconstitution so that any base reductions can be completely timely.	
FSA DCP	Individual	Lawrence, AR	\$12,092	\$0	\$12,092	The COF updated the AGI flag eligibility criteria incorrectly.		The COF will use caution in updating AGI eligibility flags in order to avoid overpayments in the future.	
FSA DCP	Individual	Terry, TX	\$9,428	\$0	\$9,428	Payment was issued in excess of payment limitation.		The COF has reminded staff to the payment limitation rules and provided specific policy handbook references to ensure awareness of the applicable pay limit rules and second party reviews of producer and payment eligibility.	
FSA DCP	Individual	Terry, TX	\$9,428	\$0	\$9,428				
FSA DCP	Individual	Iowa City, IA	\$8,872	\$0	\$8,872	The producer submitted an AGI form which was not in compliance with limitations. The COF inadvertently entered the AGI form in as compliant and a payment was issued.	The producer was issued a demand letter. The COF was instructed to contact the producer directly and explain the situation.	The COF found the error and updated the subsidiary file with the correct AGI information. The State office reviewed with the COF the importance of entering correct data into the subsidiary file.	
FSA DCP	Entity	Adams, WA	\$56,310	\$28,155	\$28,155	The incorrect social security number for an individual within the entity was used to make a payment.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	A future software release in calendar year 2013, will include IRS validations of tax identification numbers and United States Postal Service's validations of an address.	
FSA DCP/Miscellaneous Disaster Program (MDP)	Individual	Falls, TX	\$6,393	\$0	\$6,393	The producer filed a Average AGI Statement initially to receive the payment but did not sign the form granting the IRS consent to verify his AGI.	A receivable was established and the producer was notified of the debt.	Stress importance of AGI compliance to producers.	
FSA DCP/MDP/Loan Deficiency Payments (LDP)	Entity	Sussex, DE	\$740,251	\$0	\$740,251	The producer was not compliant with payment limitation provisions.	Producer has entered into a settlement agreement with the agency to repay the overpayments.	There is nothing that the COF could do to prevent this as the producer failed to carry out farming operations as presented to the COF on the eligibility documents	
DCP/MDP/LDP	Entity	Sussex, DE	\$740,251	\$0	\$740,251				
FSA MDP	Individual	Renville, MN	\$7,038	\$0	\$7,038	An error in Supplemental Revenue Assistance Payments Program (SURE) program application workbook.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	COF personnel will verify that the software is working correctly prior to issuing payments.	
FSA MDP	Individual	Renville, MN	\$25,881	\$0	\$25,881				
FSA MDP	Individual	Fannin, TX	\$8,624	\$0	\$8,624	Incorrect number of acres was entered into the SURE workbook causing an overpayment to occur.		COF will ensure that second party reviews SURE workbooks are completed prior to payment.	
FSA MDP	Individual	Andrews, TX	\$113,726	\$56,863	\$56,863	Payment was issued before all documentation was received.		COF reminded, by management, that all documentation must be submitted prior to issuing payments.	
FSA MDP	Individual	Andrews, TX	\$113,732	\$56,866	\$56,866				
FSA MDP	Individual	Foard, TX	\$88,892	\$53,662	\$35,230	The COF input the shares of the producer into the SURE workbook but failed to factor the Guarantee Basis.		COF will review the SURE Interim Report more carefully when husband and wife joint venture are involved.	
FSA MDP	Individual	Foard, TX	\$89,693	\$46,946	\$42,747	The COF input the shares of the producer into the SURE workbook but failed to factor the Guarantee Basis.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	The COF has been instructed to use the SURE workbook share tools to ensure Risk Management Agency (RMA) data involving joint ventures/partnerships is entered correctly when calculating payments and to continue to complete second party reviews before issuing SURE payments.	
FSA MDP	Entity	Stevens, KS	\$110,207	\$61,221	\$48,986	The production and calculated loss information was incorrect in the SURE workbook.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	COF staff reviewed the SURE handbook as well as started keeping a processing checklist	
FSA MDP	Individual	Miami, KS	\$100,000	\$36,147	\$63,853	RMA data submitted did not factor a 50/50 split on the individual workbooks for a husband and wife's SURE application.			COF staff has reviewed and discussed procedures for adjusting RMA data when husband and wife share a common insurance policy.
FSA MDP	Individual	Miami, KS	\$100,000	\$36,161	\$63,839				

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
FSA MDP	Individual	Jones, TX	\$29,179	\$16,760	\$12,419	Payment was incorrect due to incorrect data included in the SURE workbook.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	The National Office has provided instructions and reminders during bi-weekly field office calls the importance of conducting second party reviews to mitigate load errors when completing the SURE workbook. These instructions are also included in a handbook amendment.
FSA MDP	Individual	Jones, TX	\$27,362	\$0	\$27,362			
FSA MDP	Individual	Jones, TX	\$7,508	\$0	\$7,508			
FSA MDP	Individual	Jones, TX	\$37,708	\$0	\$37,708			
FSA Marketing Assistance Loan (MAL)	Individual	Jackson, MN	\$143,825	\$0	\$143,825	Quantity of grain under loan was overstated by the producer's certification.	Producer was investigated, charged, found guilty and ordered to pay restitution of \$305,457.32 at \$600 per month.	County Office Committee determined producer is denied future farm-stored grain loans permanently.
FSA MAL	Entity	Fayette, OH	\$200,943	\$0	\$200,943	PT accidentally selected wrong entity when making disbursement.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	Care will be taken in the future that correct entity is selected.
FSA MAL	Individual	Perry, OH	\$33,323	\$0	\$33,323	PT accidentally selected producer's deceased father with the same name.		
FSA MAL	Individual	Perry, OH	\$33,323	\$0	\$33,323			
FSA MAL	Entity	Martin, NC	\$86,793	\$0	\$86,793	Peanut loan was disbursed to incorrect producer.		
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Farm Security and Rural Investment Programs (FSRIP)	Entity	Knoxville, TN	\$43,952	\$0	\$43,952	Duplicate payment was made to the vendor.		Encourage staff to pay more attention to detail of loan disbursements. Supervisor reiterated the use of the payment history report and the importance of reviewing and applying analytics to the report prior to making a payment.
NRCS FSRIP	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$159,924	\$0	\$159,924			
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Pascoag, RI	\$21,600	\$0	\$21,600	Financial system processing error related to advance payments caused an incorrect payment.	Demand letter has been issued.	NRCS and the Department are working on a solution to prevent the financial system from issuing improper payments related to advances.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	American Samoa, HI	\$6,051	\$0	\$6,051			
NRCS FSRIP	Entity	Colby, KS	\$29,449	\$0	\$29,449	Financial system processing error caused a duplicate payment.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	The issue has been resolved by NRCS and the Department.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Gridley, CA	\$5,524	\$2,889	\$2,635	Participant lost control of acres for which he was paid.		Reminded field offices to verify contract acreage to ensure that land no longer under the participant's control is removed from the contract and future payment calculations.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	La Monte / Pettis, MO	\$10,718	\$3,590	\$7,128			
NRCS FSRIP	Entity	Lynchburg, SC	\$25,695	\$0	\$25,695	Participant received payments for two contracts against program policy.	Demand letter was issued.	State will be reminded of the existing national policy regarding transferring contracts.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Cotton, OK	\$11,595	\$0	\$11,595	Participant did not meet the requirements for a beginning farmer therefore was not eligible to participate.		Additional training will be provided regarding Historically Underserved verification requirements.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Helena/Lewis & Clark, MT	\$31,027	\$0	\$31,027	Participant not eligible for program because AGI did not meet program requirements.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	NRCS and FSA are working together with the IRS to validate income self certifications.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Sequoyah/Sallisaw, OK	\$64,902	\$0	\$64,902	Participant not eligible for program because AGI did not meet program requirements.	Demand letter was issued.	NRCS and FSA are working together with the IRS to validate income self certifications.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Cooperstown/Griggs, ND	\$32,082	\$14,951	\$17,131	Participant was overpaid due to the application of the incorrect payment rate.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	A discussion was held to ensure employee was clear on requirements for this type of contract.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	King George, VA	\$15,012	\$10,000	\$5,012	Participant was paid amount that exceeded payment limits.	Demand letter was issued.	All contracts held by the same producer will be flagged to check for potential over-payments for state capped practices.
NRCS FSRIP	Entity	Continental/Putnam, OH	\$26,707	\$16,906	\$9,801	Practices were not installed per contract requirements	Demand letter was issued.	Reminded field offices to perform a quality review prior to contract obligation. Additionally, annual quality assurance reviews will be performed to ensure that contracts are in compliance with NRCS policy.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Zavala, TX	\$7,425	\$0	\$7,425			

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Rowesville, SC	\$6,376	\$0	\$6,376	Practices were not installed per contract requirements	Demand letter was issued.	The state's field office quality assurance review report stated that field office staff must fully document the completion of a practice before certifying as complete or making payments. Issue was discussed with the District Conservationist
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Morgantown/ Monongalia, WV	\$17,796	\$11,897	\$5,899	The National Finance Center (NFC) overpaid an employee for a relocation voucher.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	NFC is researching the issue to determine why the overpayment was made.
NRCS FSRIP	Individual	Hot Springs, WY	\$63,000	\$40,000	\$23,000	Participant is a member of 2 other entities. The payment received through the additional entities put this participant over the allowable amount to earn under the CSP program as an individual.		The approving official will compare the current year payment amount with prior year payments before approving the payment. Total payments for each member will be computed to ensure he/she is within payment limitations.
Rural Housing Service (RHS) Rental Assistance Program (RAP)	Individual	Fordyce, AR	\$9,175	\$2,703	\$6,472	Income calculation/reporting issues	Payment agreements are in place for tenant to repay overpayment.	Legislative proposal submitted to target income reporting.
RHS RAP	Individual	Porterville, GA	\$6,665	\$745	\$5,920			
RHS RAP	Individual	Waynesboro, GA	\$5,436	\$513	\$4,923			
RHS RAP	Individual	Columbus, NE	\$5,034	\$0	\$5,034			
RHS RAP	Individual	Trenton, NC	\$8,382	\$12	\$8,370			
RHS RAP	Individual	Trenton, NC	\$10,674	\$3,132	\$7,542			
Forest Service (FS) Wildland Fire Suppression Management (WFESM)	Entity	Carmen, ID	\$108,274	\$0	\$108,274	Treasury cancellation issue in financial system.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	Incorporating procedures on various methods of Treasury cancellations in financial system.
FS WFESM	Individual	Missoula, MT	\$10,160	\$0	\$10,160	Incorrect vendor code was provided to the processor for payment.	Demand letter issued.	Incorporating procedures on proper method to search vendor codes.
FS WFESM	Entity	Kernersville, NC	\$285,409	\$0	\$285,409	Payment sent to the wrong vendor.	Overpayment recovered. No further action required.	Incorporating guidance on searching correct vendor codes.
FS WFESM	Entity	Castle Rock, CO	\$96,542	\$0	\$96,542			
FS WFESM	Individual	White Bird, ID	\$21,915	\$2,915	\$19,000	Processor data entry error.		Reinforce payment data entry procedures with staff.
FS WFESM	Entity	Springerville, AZ	\$31,689	\$0	\$31,689	Resolution of a contract dispute showed that the contractor was overpaid.	Demand letter issued.	Plan to deploy a 2013 enhancement to provide effective dates on blank purchase agreement awards for use by Incident personnel.
Risk Management Agency (RMA) Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Program Fund (FCICPF)	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$598,041	\$203,636	\$394,405	RMA determined the company was not in compliance with FCICPF policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.	Final findings were issued by the Agency to the reinsured company, accounts receivable were established for the overpayments and recovery actions initiated. Most receivables have been recovered. A small amount of contested receivables continue in due process review.	Provide corrective actions specific to each reinsured entity that address the types of errors identified relative to acreage reports, indemnity calculations, underwriting, and/or entity identification. Assess appropriate penalties on participating reinsured companies to improve improper payment rate. Use data mining to identify and spot check anomalous crop insurance participants using expanded data analysis that includes geospatial NEXRAD radar and weather information.
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$32,881	\$3,159	\$29,722			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Lubbock, TX	\$50,823	\$26,582	\$24,241			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Decatur, IL	\$108,102	\$47,245	\$61,127			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$359,425	\$0	\$359,425			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$142,791	\$88,927	\$53,864			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Schaumburg, IL	\$87,955	\$0	\$87,955			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Schaumburg, IL	\$67,618	\$0	\$67,618			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Schaumburg, IL	\$166,499	\$101,181	\$65,318			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Schaumburg, IL	\$94,175	\$52,313	\$41,862			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$697,765	\$423,600	\$274,165			

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$259,188	\$123,808	\$135,380	RMA determined the company was not in compliance with FCICPF policy or procedure in computing the premium and indemnity.	Final findings were issued by the Agency to the reinsured company, accounts receivable were established for the overpayments and recovery actions initiated. Most receivables have been recovered. A small amount of contested receivables continue in due process review.	Provide corrective actions specific to each reinsured entity that address the types of errors identified relative to acreage reports, indemnity calculations, underwriting, and/or entity identification. Assess appropriate penalties on participating reinsured companies to improve improper payment rate. Use data mining to identify and spot check anomalous crop insurance participants using expanded data analysis that includes geospatial NEXRAD radar and weather information.
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$167,502	\$60,781	\$106,721			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$59,784	\$0	\$59,784			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$82,354	\$22,969	\$59,385			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$604,383	\$0	\$604,383			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$537,985	\$0	\$537,985			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$80,404	\$47,489	\$32,915			
RMA FCICPF	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$54,006	\$0	\$54,006			

A high-dollar overpayment is a payment in excess of 50 percent of the correct amount.
For an individual the threshold is \$5,000 as a single payment or in cumulative payments for the quarter.
For an entity the threshold is \$25,000 as a single payment or in cumulative payments for the quarter.