

U.S. Department of Agriculture Executive Order 13520, "Reducing Improper Payments"								
High-Dollar Overpayments Report								
3rd Quarter FY 2012								
Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
Farm Service Agency (FSA) Direct and Counter-Cyclical Program (DCP)	Individual	Appling, GA	\$12,567	\$0	\$12,567	Payment was issued to the wrong recipient in the family.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will thoroughly compare the Report of Acreage and the DCP Contract to ensure that producers listed on the contract have an interest in the farm and are eligible.
	Individual	Atascosa, TX	\$7,250	\$0	\$7,250	County Office (COF) incorrectly flagged a participant as meeting the adjusted gross income (AGI) limitation causing an overpayment to be sent to the participant.	Demand letters sent to the recipient.	Second party reviews will be initiated to ensure that AGI flags are entered correctly in subsidiary system.
	Individual	Colorado, TX	\$12,815	\$0	\$12,815			
	Individual	Columbiana, OH	\$5,402	\$2,801	\$2,601	Producer was inadvertently signed up into the DCP Program rather than the Average Crop Revenue Election (ACRE) Program, causing the overpayment.	Demand letter and bill sent to recipient. In addition, COF will make offsets of future payments.	COF will review contracts with producers before signatures are obtained to ensure accuracy.
	Entity	Crittenden, AR	\$27,633	\$0	\$27,633	COF made a payment to a producer who was incorrectly flagged as eligible.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will more closely monitor the eligibility of producers managed by other counties.
	Individual	Fresno, CA	\$5,201	\$0	\$5,201	Producer was not considered eligible for benefits identified on deceased report.	Demand letter sent to the recipient.	COF will continue to inform producers about importance of updating farm records and member information.
	Individual	Grant, KS	\$65,664	\$32,832	\$32,832	Correction of data error inadvertently generated a duplicate payment.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will validate the pending payment report as required by procedures and policies.
	Individual	Hopkins, TX	\$9,073	\$0	\$9,073	COF incorrectly flagged a participant as meeting the AGI limitation causing the overpayment.		COF will complete second party reviews to ensure that AGI flags are entered correctly in subsidiary system.
	Individual	Hopkins, TX	\$9,073	\$0	\$9,073			
	Individual	Mahoning, OH	\$38,928	\$17,301	\$21,627	Producer was inadvertently signed up into the DCP Program rather than the ACRE Program.	Demand letter and bill sent to the recipient. In addition, COF will make offsets of future payments.	COF instructed to review contracts with producers before signatures are obtained to ensure accuracy.
	Entity	Pinal, AZ	\$55,985	\$37,286	\$18,699	Software error allowed the overpayment.	Demand letter sent to the recipient. Overpayment will be offset from 2012 payments.	Software error was corrected.
	Entity	Sutter, CA	\$80,000	\$0	\$80,000	Incorrect AGI was used to calculate payment.	Overpayment recovered.	COF staff will work to reduce data entry errors, by closely monitoring the input of the eligibility of the AGI information submitted by the producers.
	FSA Miscellaneous Disaster Program (MDP)	Individual	Travis, TX	\$5,390	\$0	\$5,390	COF incorrectly flagged a participant as meeting the AGI limitation causing an overpayment to be sent to the participant.	Demand letters sent to the recipient.
Individual		Atascosa, TX	\$6,396	\$0	\$6,396			
Individual		Barry, MI	\$7,448	\$0	\$7,448	Payment was issued to the incorrect producer with the same name, but different social security number (SSN).	Overpayment recovered.	COF will verify name and SSN of producers at the time of program application.
Individual		Blaine, OK	\$6,509	\$3,461	\$3,048	Incorrect Livestock Forage Program (LFP) acreages used to calculate the payment.		County Executive Director (CED) provided additional training to staff on how to properly complete data entries on LFP forms for livestock groups and acreages.
Individual		Brown, SD	\$24,002	\$0	\$24,002	Grass acres were mistakenly not included in the workbook and the producer had not met the Risk Management Purchase Requirements.	Finality rule applied and approved, no further collection actions can be taken.	COF will complete a second party reviews of Supplemental Revenue Assistance Payments (SURE) workbooks accurate and complete.
Individual	Brunswick, NC	\$15,982	\$8,393	\$7,589	Producer was paid on incorrect acreage.	Demand letters sent to the recipient.	COF will provide more training for employees on SURE program.	

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future	
FSA MDP	Entity	Cotton, OK	\$43,642	\$6,301	\$37,341	COF error in data loading information to calculate SURE payment.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will complete second party reviews with emphasis on reviewing data entries.	
	Individual	Dawson, TX	\$7,347	\$0	\$7,347	Incorrect production calculated from SURE Report due to a skip row code.	Overpayment will be offset from future SURE payments.	COF will require producers to furnish actual production when Risk Management Agency production data cannot be utilized in certain cases for skip row planted cotton.	
	Individual	Dawson, TX	\$16,090	\$0	\$16,090				
	Individual	Dawson, TX	\$14,117	\$3,617	\$10,500				
	Individual	Dickey, ND	\$11,644	\$0	\$11,644	COF entered the SURE corn grain price for corn silage production.	Overpayment will be offset from future SURE payments.	COF will stress the importance of second party review of SURE applications for accuracy.	
	Individual	Dodge, GA	\$33,893	\$22,307	\$11,586	COF used ineligible crop acreage when calculating the SURE payment.	Overpayment recovered.	COF instructed to reviewed procedures in handbook with responsible program technician.	
	Individual	Edgecombe, NC	\$73,498	\$39,571	\$33,927	COF used an incorrect payment rate for SURE cotton program.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will verify values as set by the State Office (STO) Crop Table	
	Individual	Freeborn, MN	\$26,688	\$0	\$26,688	COF indicated in the system that the producer suffered a 10% loss, but there was no actual 10% loss.		COF will perform more thorough second party reviews to ensure accuracy.	
	Individual	Freeborn, MN	\$17,468	\$0	\$17,468	COF missed identifying a crop on the application for benefits and used an incorrect price to calculate payment.			
	Individual	Garfield, OK	\$7,655	\$568	\$7,087	COF error in loading information to calculate SURE payment.		Discussed with staff to verify NAP crops before completing calculations in SURE workbooks.	
	Individual	Goliad, TX	\$22,161	\$0	\$22,161	COF incorrectly flagged a participant as meeting the AGI limitation causing an overpayment to be sent to the participant.		Ensure that AGI flags are reviewed	
	Individual	Grant, WI	\$90,378	\$35,699	\$54,679	Incorrect data was loaded into the workbook causing a calculation error that generated the overpayment.		Demand letter and bill sent to recipient. Recipient is making installment payments.	COF will complete a more detailed second party review of SURE program payments to ensure accuracy.
	Individual	Haskell, TX	\$9,673	\$3,407	\$6,266	Incorrect payment was calculated in SURE workbook by an adjoining county.		Overpayment recovered.	Recording COF will ensure second party reviews are completed on all workbooks with special emphasis on multi-county producers.
	Individual	Haskell, TX	\$11,707	\$4,048	\$7,659				
	Individual	Henry, IA	\$45,096	\$0	\$45,096	COF calculated 2009 SURE payment incorrectly.		Demand letters sent to the recipient.	STO reviewed program requirements with the COF and discussed the importance of double checking applications for accuracy and completeness.
	Individual	Henry, IA	\$45,096	\$0	\$45,096				
	Individual	Hutchinson, SD	\$5,840	\$0	\$5,840	Payment was calculated based on incorrect crop shares.	Producer requested overpayment be offset from 2012 program payments.	COF will continue to perform second party reviews to ensure accuracy and preservation of program integrity.	
	Individual	Johnston, NC	\$33,977	\$20,605	\$13,372	COF used an incorrect payment rate for the SURE cotton program.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will verify values as set by the STO Crop Table	
	Entity	Kiowa, OK	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	SURE payment was issued for the wrong year.	Overpayment recovered.	COF was made aware of the software changes and the ability to select a program year. CED emphasized with staff to be aware that the correct program year is used when conducting second party reviews.	
Individual	Lafayette, WI	\$8,284	\$0	\$8,284	Incorrect producer was paid.	COF will strengthen second party review payment process to ensure accuracy.			
Individual	Miami, OH	\$92,553	\$48,499	\$44,054	COF used the incorrect rate when calculating the payment.	The COF will conduct second party reviews in the future before disbursing payments to ensure the correct payment rate is used.			
Individual	Milam, TX	\$7,510	\$0	\$7,510	COF incorrectly flagged a participant as meeting the AGI limitation causing the overpayment.	Demand letters sent to the recipient.	COF will complete second party reviews to ensure that AGI flags are entered correctly in subsidiary system.		
Entity	St. Lucie, FL	\$28,102	\$0	\$28,102	Incorrect certified production caused the overpayment.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will ensure that certified production records have sufficient supporting documentation.		
Individual	Sumner, TN	\$23,282	\$12,684	\$10,598	Producer did not meet the SURE wheat acreage requirements.	Demand letters sent to the recipient.	COF will more thoroughly review SURE applications. SOF issued policy to require producers to identify crops that they are claiming as de minimis to increase COF oversight of uninsured crops.		

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
FSA MDP	Individual	Trall, ND	\$100,000	\$61,592	\$38,408	COF incorrectly loaded the producer's share into the SURE workbook.	Overpayment collected.	COF will stress the importance of second party review of SURE applications for accuracy.
	Individual	Travis, TX	\$22,592	\$0	\$22,592	COF incorrectly flagged a participant as meeting the AGI limitation.	Demand letters sent to the recipient.	COF will complete second party reviews to ensure that AGI flags are entered correctly in subsidiary system.
	Individual	Van Buren, MI	\$47,290	\$0	\$47,290	Producer failed to certify all acreage resulting in the the producer being ineligible for the SURE payment.		COF will require producers to furnish actual production when RMA production data cannot be utilized in certain cases for specific crops. COF will continue to notify the Risk Management Agency (RMA) of acreage irregularities as required by procedure.
	Individual	Wilbarger, TX	\$15,239	\$9,379	\$5,860	Incorrect production utilized in SURE payment calculation.	Overpayment recovered.	COF will instruct employees to pay more attention to detail during the data load process and second party reviews.
	Individual	Woods, OK	\$20,672	\$8,121	\$12,551	COF error in loading information to calculate SURE payment.		COF will instruct employees to pay more attention to detail during the data load process and second party reviews.
FSA Marketing Assistance Loan Program (MAL)	Entity	Bulloch, GA	\$380,233	\$190,117	\$190,117	Loan was processed and issued using incorrect tax EIN.	Loan amount recovered.	COF will provide guidance and additional training to program technicians to ensure validation and accuracy of a producer's name and EIN/TIN/SSN.
	Individual	Cass, MO	\$17,245	\$0	\$17,245	Wrong producer paid because two producers had same name but different middle initials.	Overpayment recovered.	
	Individual	Cedar, NE	\$19,784	\$0	\$19,784	Loan issued to the incorrect producer with the same name, but a different SSN.		COF will review acreage certifications before processing loan to verify shares.
	Individual	Dunklin, MO	\$6,482	\$2,778	\$3,704	Incorrect amount of crop shares used to calculate loan.	COF was made reminded of the importance to verify that the correct producer is selected when processing the program application and the producer's EIN/TIN/SSN is verified to ensure the correct producer is paid.	
	Entity	Fresno, CA	\$75,238	\$0	\$75,238	Loan issued to the wrong entity because several entities had similar names.		COF will remind employees of the importance of entering the correct shares on the contract.
	Entity	Lauderdale, TN	\$29,791	\$0	\$29,791	Loan was disbursed using incorrect shares information contained in the contract.	COF was made reminded of the importance to verify that the correct producer is selected when processing the program application and the producer's EIN/TIN/SSN is verified to ensure the correct producer is paid.	
	Entity	Lauderdale, TN	\$48,865	\$0	\$48,865	Wrong SSN resulted in loan payment to incorrect producer.		COF will remind employees of the importance of entering the correct shares on the contract.
	Entity	Tipton, TN	\$100,441	\$50,220	\$50,220	Payment based on incorrect amount of crops shares.		
FSA Non-insured Assistance Program (NAP)	Individual	Monmouth, NJ	\$12,693	\$2,827	\$9,866	COF incorrectly calculated production history.	Finality rule applied and approved, no further collection actions can be taken.	COF will provide training and review all actual production histories prior to issuing payments.
	Individual	Pickens, SC	\$29,038	\$0	\$29,038	COF error in calculating production.	Demand letter sent to the recipient.	COF will complete a second party review and a review by the District Director.
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) - Farm Security and Rural Investment Program (FSRIP)	Individual	Clayton, IA	\$8,244	\$4,803	\$3,441	Participant lost control of acres for which he was paid.	Overpayment recovered.	Field offices will be reminded during annual contract status reviews to verify contract acreage to ensure that land no longer under the participant's control is removed from the contract and future payment calculations.
	Individual	Riverton, WY	\$13,211	\$8,372	\$4,839		Demand letter sent to the recipient.	
	Individual	Seward, NE	\$12,595	\$7,112	\$5,483	Participant no longer operated several tracts of land included in his contract.		Field offices were reminded of the proper use of the Conservation Measurement Tool and the need to perform a quality review prior to contract obligation.
	Individual	Deuel, SD	\$5,119	\$2,001	\$3,118	Enhancement information was incorrectly entered into the Protracts system.	Overpayment recovered.	
	Individual	Howard, IA	\$10,709	\$6,526	\$4,183	Nutrient management practice was not applied per the contract requirements.		Demand letter sent to the recipient.
	Individual	Howard, IA	\$36,450	\$0	\$36,450	Participant no longer eligible for the program due to changing his crop rotation.	Demand letter sent to the recipient.	
	Individual	Denton, MD	\$7,707	\$0	\$7,707	Recipient received payment to perform poultry litter treatment but never performed the work.		Field offices will reinforce current guidance to perform a more thorough review of land/acreage information.
	Individual	Green Castle, MO	\$8,658	\$0	\$8,658	Re-seeding requirements not met.	Overpayment recovered.	
	Individual	Paulding, OH	\$11,473	\$5,664	\$5,809	Acrees mistakenly enrolled in more than one program.		
Individual	Clayton, IA	\$12,366	\$7,887	\$4,479				

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
NRCS/FSRIP	Entity	Auburn, AL	\$57,234	\$0	\$57,234	Payment made to the wrong closing agent. Payment amount was incorrectly calculated.	Demand letter sent to participant.	State Conservationists will work with field office program staffs to ensure that proper closing documents are prepared for the correct closing agents.
	Individual	Harvest, AL	\$31,416	\$4,125	\$27,291			National Headquarters provided training to the State and field offices on how to calculate payment amounts.
Rural Housing Service (RHS) Rental Assistance Program (RAP)	Individual	Florida City, FL	\$11,287	\$5,341	\$5,946	Undisclosed income information/documentation not available to support payment calculation.	Payment agreements established with tenants. Debts will be sent to the Treasury Offset Program if payments are not received.	RHS submitted legislative proposal to gain access to an income verification database. RHS modified its improper payments review protocol to improve detection of unauthorized assistance.
	Individual	Pompano Beach, FL	\$20,616	\$0	\$20,616			
	Individual	Crystal River, FL	\$5,184	\$0	\$5,184			
	Individual	Warrenton, GA	\$9,204	\$2,254	\$6,950			
	Individual	Athens, IL	\$20,400	\$11,745	\$8,655			
	Individual	Stockton, IL	\$6,016	\$920	\$5,096			
	Individual	Ottawa, IL	\$5,445	\$0	\$5,445			
	Individual	Humboldt, IA	\$5,034	\$0	\$5,034			
	Individual	Plaquemina, LA	\$6,594	\$0	\$6,594			
	Individual	White Pigeon, MI	\$14,303	\$2,254	\$12,049			
	Individual	Bad Axe, MI	\$5,208	\$0	\$5,208			
	Individual	Cloquet, MN	\$13,044	\$7,152	\$5,892			
	Individual	Bemidji, MN	\$9,560	\$2,749	\$6,811			
	Individual	Albermarle, NC	\$7,668	\$0	\$7,668			
	Individual	Weaverville, NC	\$13,376	\$8,214	\$5,162			
Individual	Mount Olive, NC	\$9,540	\$0	\$9,540				
Individual	Walters, OK	\$16,076	\$7,634	\$8,442				
Individual	Booker, TX	\$7,904	\$0	\$7,904				
Risk Management Agency (RMA) Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Program Fund (FCICPF)	Entity	Schaumburg, IL	\$245,311	\$0	\$245,311	Company was determined not to be in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.	Receivable established and recovery actions initiated	RMA provides corrective actions specific to each reinsured entity that address the types of errors identified relative to acreage reports, indemnity calculations, underwriting, and/or entity identification. RMA assess appropriate penalties on participating reinsured companies to improve improper payment rate. RMA uses data mining to identify and spot check anomalous crop insurance participants using expanded data analysis that includes geospatial NEXRAD radar and weather information.
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$194,003	\$963	\$193,040			
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$413,881	\$0	\$413,881	Company was determined not to be in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium and indemnity.	Final findings were issued by the Agency pursuant to an old fraud investigation. Entity ceased to exist as a reinsured company in 2001.	Receivable established and recovery actions initiated
	Entity	Schaumburg, IL	\$573,824	\$0	\$573,824			
	Entity	Ramsey, MN	\$184,838	\$75,102	\$109,736			
	Entity	Ramsey, MN	\$91,874	\$43,146	\$48,728			
	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$60,485	\$18,145	\$42,340			
	Entity	Lubbock, TX	\$59,776	\$29,306	\$30,470			
	Entity	Lubbock, TX	\$57,774	\$20,101	\$37,673			
	Entity	Decatur, IL	\$208,591	\$3,053	\$205,538			
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$319,686	\$42,731	\$276,955			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$126,142	\$32,636	\$93,506			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$66,307	\$0	\$66,307			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$55,028	\$0	\$55,028			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$49,631	\$0	\$49,631			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$48,061	\$0	\$48,061			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$30,431	\$0	\$30,431			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$28,177	\$0	\$28,177			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$26,676	\$0	\$26,676			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$26,444	\$0	\$26,444			
Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$26,148	\$0	\$26,148				
Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$25,050	\$0	\$25,050				
Entity	Johnston, IA	\$56,313	\$22,502	\$33,811				
Entity	Lubbock, TX	\$79,854	\$8,934	\$70,920				