

U.S. Department of Agriculture Executive Order 13520, "Reducing Improper Payments"
 High Dollar Improper Payments Report With Overpayment Reasons Column
 1st Quarter FY 2012

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayments	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
Forest Service - Wildland Fire Suppression Program	Entity	La Grande, OR	\$43,563	\$0	\$43,563	Erroneous data entered caused payment to be sent to incorrect vendor.	Demand letter and bill has been sent to the vendor who received overpayment.	Enhanced control procedures by having the program payment staff review two sources of vendor information to ensure the correct TIN number is entered during payment processing.
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) - Farm Security and Rural Investment Program (FSRIP)	Entity	Grove Hill, AL	\$416,050	\$0	\$416,050	Payment made to the incorrect payee.	Overpayment recovered.	Provided additional training to the program and financial management staff on the proper procedures to follow when issuing payments.
	Entity	Little Rock, AR	\$32,496	\$0	\$32,496	Incorrect vendor was paid.	Overpayment recovered.	Provided additional training to the Fiscal Technician and Certifying Officers on the proper procedures to follow when issuing payments.
	Individual	Newman, IL	\$20,183	\$11,613	\$8,570	Incorrect modifications were included in the contract.	Overpayment recovered.	Updated contract to remove the incorrect modifications. Provide training and reiterate to program staff to review all applications before obligation.
	Individual	Caruthersville, MO	\$26,822	\$15,650	\$11,172	Participant lost ownership of a portion of acres after the payment was made.	Demand letter and bill has been sent to the recipient who received overpayment.	Updated contract to remove the acres that were lost after the payment was made. Reiterated to field offices to verify contract acreage and to remove acreage no longer under the participant's control from the contract.
	Individual	Parma, MO	\$12,875	\$8,515	\$4,360	Duplicate acres were included in the contract.	Demand letter and bill has been sent to the recipient who received overpayment.	Updated contract to remove the duplicate acres. Reiterate to program staff to verify all the participant's USDA contracts during the application, obligation, and payment processing to ensure that the acreage/practices are not duplicated on new contracts.
	Individual	Gladstone, ND	\$6,007	\$2,942	\$3,065	Participant paid for acres that did not meet NRCS standards and specifications.	Overpayment recovered.	Provided training to program staff on the proper procedures to follow when issuing payments.
	Entity	Meadville, PA	\$78,059	\$39,030	\$39,030	NRCS paid the whole easement amount instead of a 50% installment, as requested by the landowner.	Demand letter and bill has been sent to the recipient who received overpayment.	Instructed personnel to follow proper procedures regarding verification of the agreement prior to issuing easement payments.
	Individual	Todd, SD	\$7,804	\$4,118	\$3,686	The Conservation Measurement Tool (CMT) contained errors on the amount of eligible crop land.	Demand letter and bill has been sent to the recipient who received overpayment.	Reminded field offices of proper completion of the CMT and to do a quality assurance review prior to contract obligation.
Risk Management Agency (RMA) Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Program Fund (FCICPF)	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$673,819	\$210,203	\$463,616	Company was not in compliance with Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.	Receivable established and recovery actions initiated.	Provide corrective actions specific to each reinsured entity that address the types of errors identified relative to acreage reports, indemnity calculations, underwriting, and/or entity identification. Assess appropriate penalties on participating reinsured companies to improve improper payment rate. Use data mining to identify and spot check anomalous crop insurance participants using expanded data analysis that includes geospatial radar and weather information.
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$83,904	\$24,839	\$59,065			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$121,545	\$59,979	\$61,566			
	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$107,574	\$0	\$107,574			

Name of Program Responsible for Overpayment	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Total Dollar Amount of the Payments Identified (Sum of Proper Amount and Overpayment Amount)	Proper Dollar Amount That Should Have Been Paid	Overpayment Dollar Amount	Reason For Overpayments	Actions Taken or Planned to Recover the Overpayment	Overall Actions and Strategies Taken or Planned to Prevent Overpayments in the Future
Risk Management Agency (RMA) Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Program Fund (FCICPF)	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$57,973	\$0	\$57,973	Company was not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium and indemnity.	Receivable established and recovery actions initiated.	Provide corrective actions specific to each reinsured entity that address the types of errors identified relative to acreage reports, indemnity calculations, underwriting, and/or entity identification. Assess appropriate penalties on participating reinsured companies to improve improper payment rate. Use data mining to identify and spot check anomalous crop insurance participants using expanded data analysis that includes geospatial radar and weather information.
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$31,609	\$0	\$31,609			
	Entity	Topeka, KS	\$37,466	\$0	\$37,466			
	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$143,277	\$0	\$143,277			
	Entity	Ramsey, MN	\$26,886	\$0	\$26,886			
	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$84,162	\$0	\$84,162			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$44,401	\$0	\$44,401			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$48,837	\$0	\$48,837			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$134,342	\$0	\$134,342			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$380,484	\$0	\$380,484			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$76,057	\$0	\$76,057			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$47,639	\$0	\$47,639			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$38,194	\$0	\$38,194			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$60,277	\$0	\$60,277			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$30,857	\$0	\$30,857			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$127,166	\$0	\$127,166			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$29,387	\$0	\$29,387			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$156,819	\$0	\$156,819			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$49,203	\$0	\$49,203			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$97,949	\$0	\$97,949			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$99,206	\$0	\$99,206			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$37,057	\$0	\$37,057			
	Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$40,803	\$0	\$40,803			
Entity	Des Moines, IA	\$97,922	\$0	\$97,922				
Entity	Lubbock, TX	\$34,344	\$7,607	\$26,737	Company was not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium.			

A high-dollar overpayment is a payment in excess of 50 percent of the correct amount.
For an individual, the threshold is \$5,000 as a single payment or in cumulative payments for the quarter.
For an entity, the threshold is \$25,000 as a single payment or in cumulative payments for the quarter.